PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT
To: MAIWALD PATENTANWALTS GMBH Attn. Huenges, Martin Elisenhof Elisenstrasse 80335 München GERMANY AMAIWALD Patentanwalts GmbH 2 0 Juli 2005	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
2 % 33(1 200)	(PCT Rule 44.1)
MÜNCHEN FRIST 19.09. Blb	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 19/07/2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference N 7198 / KK	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No.	International filing date
PCT/EP2004/014797	(day/month/year) 29/12/2004
Applicant	
NCTENGINEERING GMBH	
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fast For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accorn The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fast For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accordance of the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fast For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accordance of the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fast For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accordance of the International Search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Search Article 17(2)(a) to the International Search Article 17(2	s of the International Application (see Rule 46): nally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. chemin des Colombettes scimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 mpanying sheet. report will be established and that the declaration under ternational Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. nal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: In transmitted to the International Bureau together with the est and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. Ilicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. International application will be published by the publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international ureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, all publication. Invited opinion of the International Searching Authority to the such comments to all designated Offices unless an stablished. These comments would also be made available to rity date. The designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed ces. (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Cora Dreyer

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/iSA/220
N 7198 / KK	ACTION	as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month	/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP2004/014797	29/12/2004	30/12/2003
Applicant	<u> </u>	
NCTENGINEERING GMBH		
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Seam Insmitted to the International Bureau	ching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists	of a total of she	ets.
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document ci	ted in this report.
Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, unl	international search was carried out ess otherwise indicated under this ite	on the basis of the international application in the m.
The international this Authority (Ru		of a translation of the international application furnished to
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).	
3. X Unity of Invention is lac	king (see Box III).	
4. With regard to the title,		
X the text is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establis	shed by this Authority to read as follo	ws:
5. With regard to the abstract,		
l 😑	ubmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establis may, within one month fro	thed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by the community of this internal of this internal of the community of the	nis Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ional search report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings,		
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	oublished with the abstract is Figure	No
X as suggested by		
· = ·	is Authority, because the applicant fa is Authority, because this figure bette	-
	is Authority, because this ligure betti be published with the abstract.	a Characterizes are invention.
	•	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/EP2004/014797

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 GOIL3/10 GOIL G01L1/12 G01L3/14 G01L25/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 GO1L Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Category ° Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages US 6 581 480 B1 (MAY LUTZ AXEL ET AL) 1,2,4, χ 10,12, 24 June 2003 (2003-06-24) 13,15, 16,22,23 3,5-8,abstract; figure 5b Υ 37-39 9,11,14, Α column 10, line 20 - line 36 17-21, 24-27,40 column 17, line 58 - line 61 5,6,8,35 Υ WO 01/79801 A (FAST TECHNOLOGY AG; MAY, LUTZ. AXEL) 25 October 2001 (2001-10-25) abstract; figure 2b page 3, line 1 - line 10 page 11, line 17 - page 16, line 3 page 27, line 23 - page 29, line 3 1-4,7,9 - 27,40Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the International *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone 'Y' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document published prior to the international flling date but later than the priority date claimed *&* document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 19 07 2005 20 June 2005 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Helm, B

)

`

3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/014797

C (Continue	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	1/672004/014/9/
Category *		Relevant to claim No.
Y	WO 95/33982 A (GARSHELIS, IVAN, J) 14 December 1995 (1995-12-14) abstract; figures 32-35 page 52, line 20 - page 53, line 23	3,7
X	WO 00/57150 A (FAST TECHNOLOGY GMBH; MAY, LUTZ, AXEL; OWSLEY, JOHN)	28-34, 36,41
Y	28 September 2000 (2000-09-28) abstract; figures 6-13 page 6, line 16 - line 27 page 9, line 11 - page 10, line 28 page 12, line 10 - line 12 page 13, line 11 - page 14, line 3 page 15, line 15 - line 22 page 16, line 22 - page 17, line 22 page 19, line 7 - page 20, line 5 page 21, line 12 - line 14 page 22, line 20 - line 22	35,37-39

3

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014797

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
	see additional sheet
1. X	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remar	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. X No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-27,40

Magnetizing at least one of two objects, one object enclosing the other, wherein an electrical signal source is adapted to apply an electrical signal to the enclosed object so that at least a portion of the one object and/or of the other object is magnetized.

2. claims: 28-39,41

Calibrating a force and torque sensor device, having a magnetically encoded region on an object and a magnetic field detector adapted to detect a signal resulting from a force or a torque applied to the object, wherein a pre-known force generating element is adapted to apply a pre-known force to the object, and wherein a calibrating unit is adapted to calibrate the force and torque sensor device based on a correlation between the pre-known force and a detected signal resulting from the pre-known force.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/014797

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 6581480	24-06-2003	AU	2739999 A	16-11-1999
		ΕP	1070237 A1	24-01-2001
		WO	9956099 A1	04-11-1999
		JP	2002513147 T	08-05-2002
WO 0179801	25-10-2001	AU	5832101 A	30-10-2001
		WO	0179801 A2	25-10-2001
		EP	1282810 A2	12-02-2003
		JP	2003531368 T	21-10-2003
		US	2003150282 A1	14-08-2003
WO 9533982	14-12-1995	US	5520059 A	28-05-1996
		CA	2190974 A1	14-12-1995
		DE	69527983 D1	02-10-2002
		DE	69527983 T2	28-05-2003
		EP	0803053 A1	29-10-1997
		JP	2914526 B2	05-07-1999
		JP	9511832 T	25-11-1997
		WO	9533982 A1	14-12-1995
		US	5591925 A	07-01-1997
		US	5708216 A	13-01-1998
WO 0057150	28-09-2000	AU	3442300 A	09-10-2000
		EP	1169627 A1	09-01-2002
		WO	0057150 A1	28-09-2000
		JP	2002540392 T	26-11-2002

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/22M/AIWALD INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY Patentanwalts GmbH (PCT Rule 43bis.1) 2 () Juli 2005 Date of mailing MÜNCHEN (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) 50.10 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 30.12.2003 29.12.2004 PCT/EP2004/014797 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G01L3/10, G01L1/12, G01L3/14, G01L25/00 Applicant NCTENGINEERING GMBH This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Helm, B

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2366



Name and mailing address of the ISA:

10/585012

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

·* }

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014797

1AP20 RCC'67 577770 29 JUN 2006

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re the lar	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
-	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With renes	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forr	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additi	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014797

	Во	x No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention		
1.	⊠	In resp	oonse to the invitation	on (Form P	CT/ISA/208	i) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:
		⊠	paid additional fee	:S.		
			paid additional fee	s under pro	otest.	
			not paid additiona	I fees.		
2.			uthority found that t plicant to pay additi		nent of uni	ty of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite
3.	Thi	s Autho	rity considers that t	he requiren	nent of unit	y of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
		complie	ed with			
		•	nplied with for the fo	llowing rea	sons.	
			•	mowing rea	30113.	
			eparate sheet			
4.	Co	nseque	ntly, this report has	been estab	olished in re	espect of the following parts of the international application:
	\boxtimes	all parts	3 .			
		·	s. ts relating to claims	Nos.		
		·		Nos.		
	Bo	the part	s relating to claims	ement und		bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or no supporting such statement
	Bo	the part	Reasoned state applicability; citat	ement und		
 	Bo inc	the part ox No. V dustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citat	ement und ions and e		
1.	Bo inc Sta	the part ox No. V dustrial atement velty (N	Reasoned state applicability; citat	ement und ions and e Yes: No:	explanatio Claims	3, 5-9, 11, 14, 17-21, 24-41

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Prior Art

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1 = US-B1-6 581 480 (MAY LUTZ AXEL ET AL) 24 June 2003 (2003-06-24)
- D2 = WO 01/79801 A (FAST TECHNOLOGY AG, MAY, LUTZ, AXEL) 25 October 2001 (2001-10-25)
- D3 = WO 95/33982 A (GARSHELIS, IVAN, J) 14 December 1995 (1995-12-14)
- D4 = WO 00/57150 A (FAST TECHNOLOGY GMBH; MAY, LUTZ, AXEL; OWSLEY, JOHN) 28 September 2000 (2000-09-28)

Re Item IV.

- 1. This Authority considers that there are 2 inventions covered by the claims indicated as follows:
 - Claims 1 to 27, 40: Magnetizing at least one of two objects, one object enclosing the other, wherein an electrical signal source is adapted to apply an electrical signal to the enclosed object so that at least a portion of the one object and/or of the other object is magnetized.
 - II. Claims 28 to 39, 41: Calibrating a force and torque sensor device, having a magnetically encoded region on an object and a magnetic field detector adapted to detect a signal resulting from a force or a torque applied to the object, wherein a pre-known force generating element is adapted to apply a pre-known force to the object, and wherein a calibrating unit is adapted to calibrate the force and torque sensor device based on a correlation between the pre-known force and a detected signal resulting from the pre-known force.
- 2. The reasons for which the inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, as required by Rule 13.1 PCT, are as follows:

10/585012 (AP20 Rec'd PST/PTO 29 JUN 2006) International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/014797

- 3. The closest prior art at hand with respect to both groups of inventions has been identified as document D1 and discloses (see e.g. passages cited in the report indicating the results of the International Search) an apparatus for and a method of measuring torque comprising a torque measuring shaft rotatable about a longitudinal axis, said shaft comprising first and second zones disposed along the longitudinal axis and each being magnetised.
- 4. As it is immediately apparent from independent claims 1, 10 on the one hand and 28, 29 on the other hand, the only common feature of these claims is an object having a magnetized region. However, as mentioned above, such an object is disclosed in document D1.
- 5. The remaining features of independent claims 1 and 10 relate to a set of two objects, one object enclosing the other, wherein an electrical signal source is adapted to apply an electrical signal to the enclosed object. Thus, a magnetized region is achieved in one or both objects and a sensor comprising said object can be manufactured and operated at low cost (technical effect).
- 6. The remaining features of independent claims 28 and 29 relate to the calibration of a magnetic force and torque sensor device, wherein a pre-known force generating element is adapted to apply a pre-known force, and wherein a calibrating unit is adapted to calibrate the force and torque sensor device based on a correlation between the pre-known force and a detected signal resulting from the pre-known force. Thus, an accurate and reliable force and torque sensor device is provided, where, e.g., the outputs at zero-output are not masked by noise (technical effect).
- 7. This appears to show lack of corresponding technical effect as well. Consequently, the requisite unity of invention (Rule 13.1 PCT) therefore no longer exists inasmuch as a technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT does not exist between the subject-matter of the above mentioned groups of claims.
 In conclusion, these groups of claims define two different inventions not linked by a

Re Item V.

1. Objections under Article 33(2) PCT (Novelty)

- 1.1. The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 4, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 22 and 23 is not new in respect of prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT).
- 1.2. Document D1 (see paragraphs above), further discloses (see e.g. passages cited in the report indicating the results of the International Search) a shaft 10 as a second object and a set of two electrodes 62 as a first object enclosing the second object. In order to generate a circumferential magnetic field in the shaft 10, a direct current pulse 60 is passed longitudinally through the shaft 10. The current can be made to flow either through the whole shaft or through portions of it. In the latter case the current 60 is applied through said ring electrodes 62 attached to the shaft. The direction of the field depends on the polarity of the current.

Therefore, claims 1, 2, 4, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 22 and 23 are not novel.

2. Objections under Article 33(3) PCT (Inventive Step)

- 2.1. The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 3, 5 to 9, 11, 14, 17 to 21 and 24 to 41 does not involve an inventive step (Rule 65(1),(2)) PCT.
- 2.2. Claims 3, 5 to 9, 11, 14, 17 to 21, 24 to 27, 30 to 39 only suggest slight changes to the arrangements of the independent claims to which they refer. These changes are regarded as being within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can be readily contemplated in advance.

- 2.3. In particular, document D2 suggests in an "Electromagnet Alternative" for generating an annulus of longitudinal magnetisation in an integral portion of a shaft. The result of this magnetisation is to produce a surface adjacent annular magnetised zone 16 within which an interior annular magnetised zone 18 of opposite polarity is established. These two zones combine to provide a torus of closed loop magnetic flux.
 - Thus, claims 5, 6 and 8 do not involve an inventive step, either.
 - Moreover, according to said "Electromagnet Alternative", document D2 proposes the application of a ramped signal having different raising and falling edges. The selection of appropriate raising and falling edges, however, is a matter of straightforward trial and error routine experiments from which the skilled person would select suitable values, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill.
 - Thus, also claims 3 and 7 do not involve an inventive step.
- 2.4. Document D3 discloses a magnetisation process, wherein a conductor 172 passes coaxially through a ring or hollow tube 178 which is successively immersed into a conductive liquid 176; e.g. mercury.
 - Therefore, claims 11, 20 and 21 do not involve an inventive step.
- 2.5. Document D4 (see e.g. passages cited in the International Search Report) describes a shaft, wherein a longitudinal magnetisation has in a radial direction of the shaft a surface adjacent annular magnetised zone 154 within which an interior annular magnetised zone 156 of opposite polarity is established. The two zones combine to provide a torus of closed loop magnetic flux. The magnetisation is obtained by a two-step procedure. Firstly a deep annular region of the polarity of zone 156 is formed by the magnet 150. Then the surface adjacent zone 154 is formed by reversing the magnetisation polarity of the surface adjacent region of the deep region. According to document D4 (page 21, lines 11 to 14), the principles given "above" (i.e. on pages 1 to 20 of document D4) can be applied to radially spaced circumferential magnetisations which find particular, though not exclusive, application in torque transmitting discs. Moreover, document D4 mentions that circumferential magnetisations can be achieved with a shaft being subjected to an axially directed current (see e.g. page 9, lines 3 to 20).

In order to create the concentric circumferential magnetisations as shown if figure 13 of document D4 in a shaft instead of a disc, the skilled person would also consider to subject the shaft to a plurality of axially directed current pulses of different polarities and

waveforms.

In particular, said indication that "the principles given above can be applied to radially spaced circumferential magnetisations which find application also in other arrangements but discs", would prompt the skilled person to apply such an "above principle" as the application of axially directed currents in order to achieve radially spaced circumferential magnetisations in a shaft.

Moreover, according to document D4 (see e.g. page 6, lines 15 to 27), the circumferential field is induced in the transducer element when the element is subject to a torque, according to the so-called *pre-torquing concept*. Thus, the provision of a real measurable output at zero torque with a range of linear measurement of magnetic field output against applied torque is enabled.

In addition, document D4 discloses a processing circuit 40 for processing the signals from sensors 36 and 38 having respective voltages V1 and V2 induced in them. The sensors are mounted to have the voltages V1 and V2 induced in the same sense and to have any signal due to the earth's magnetic field or other extraneous field induced in the same sense. The signals are subtracted and the result is multiplied by a factor "k":

$$V_0 = k (V_1 - V_2)$$

Thus, any unwanted signal components, such as from the earth's magnetic field are cancelled from the final output.

In addition, document D4 describes a multiple field arrangement as the basis of an *automatic gain control or calibration* for a torque sensor system. For example, if in the situation of Fig. 6a the two output signals are summed, the sum should be a constant value at all torques. Over time the circumferential fields may weaken so that if an initial sum value is stored as a calibration point, the later obtained instantaneous sum can be compared with said initial sum value and used to derive a compensating value to correct later sensor measurements.

Thus, claims 28 to 34, 36 and 41 do not contribute to inventive step.

- 2.6. As described above in the context of document D1, the features described in present claims 35 and 37 to 39 cannot be considered to involve an inventive step.
- 3. Articles 33(1),(4) PCT (Industrial Applicability)

Beyond any doubts, the subject-matter defined in claims 1 to 27 and 40 is industrially applicable, e.g. in commercially available torque sensors and their manufacturing.

4. Further Objections and Remarks

- 4.1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the **documents D1 to D4** is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.
- 4.2. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).